

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPPIL No. 15 of 2018**

Girja Sai @ Jagmohan Sai S/o Shiv Charan Aged About 37 Years R/o Near The Ballar Chock, Ward No. 6, Main Road Kasdol, Tahsil And Police Station, Kasdol District- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh. Mb. 9009437100

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Town Administration And Development Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Tahsil And District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Tahsil Kasdol District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
3. Sub Divisional Officer, Kasdol, Tahsil Kasdol, Tahsil Kasdol District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat Kasdol, Tahsil Kasdol District- Balodabazar, Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
5. Nagar Panchayat, Kasdol, Through Its President Nagar Panchayat Kasdol, Tahsil Kasdol District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
6. Yogesh Banjare S/o Rameshwar Banjare Aged About 36 Years President Nagar Panchayat, Kasdol Tahsil Kasdol District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.
7. Mr. Satish Yadav Aged About 40 Years Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Kasdol, Tahsil Kasdol District- Balodabazar- Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri Vijay K. Deshmukh, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	: Shri R.S. Baghel, Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board**20/02/2019****Per Ajay Kumar Tripathi, Chief Justice**

1. The Collector, Balodabazar and the Chief Municipal Officer of Nagar Panchayat, Kasdol are present in person. They tendered apology that they have not filed return earlier, however, same has now been filed and served and the factual position existing on the ground has been brought on record with supporting evidence.

2. Be that as it may, if the Petitioner has some personal grievance still in relation to construction of the *Mukti Dham* which is equally important and for which, if steps are being taken for providing facilities which is required to be extended to a departed soul and the Court will not come in the way of such completion of the *Mukti Dham*. However, the Respondent authorities would be well advised to also keep sentiments of those who have already departed in relation to some of the *Samadhis* which may be present in the land and the area where the *Mukti Dham* is sought to be upgraded.

3. If the Petitioner brings any bonafide issue to the knowledge of any of the above authorities, they will surely find an amicable solution to the same. The personal presence of both the officers are now stand dispensed with.

4. Writ application stands disposed off in view of the above.

Sd/-

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE