

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 908 of 2019

1. Kapish Chandra Pandey S/o Mahavir Prasad Pandey, Aged About 35 Years, Working As Lecturer (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Bazar Rajhara, Block Doundi, District Balod Chhattisgarh.
2. Sheshmani Kushwaha S/o Shri Gourakh Prasad Kushwaha, Aged About 34 Years, Working As Teacher (L.B.) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Chantidih, Block Bilha, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration and Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Palika Parishad Dallirajhara, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.
4. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioner	:	None.
For State	:	None.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

12/02/2019

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the nonconsideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.

2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Urban Administration Department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post under the Panchayat Department in the year 2013 and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore she is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)

4. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).

5. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE