

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 772 of 2019

1. Mahesh Ram Chauhan S/o Shri Snaturam Chauhan Aged About 35 Years Presnetly Working As Post Of Lecturer (Lb) Govt. Higher Secondary School Madguri ,block Kushmi, District Balrampur Chhattisgarh.
2. Liligrace Kerketta D/o Shri Benjamin Kerketta Aged About 35 Years Presently Working As Post Of Lecturer (Lb) Govt. Higher Secondary School Madguri ,block Kushmi, District Balrampur Chhattisgarh.

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary School Education Department Mahanadi Bhawan Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. District Education Officer Balrampur District Balrampur Chhattisgarh.
3. Block Education Officer Kushmi District Balrampur Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Manoj Chauhan, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

06/02/2019

1. The limited prayer which the petitioners have sought in this writ petition is for a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on the claim of the petitioners for grant of two advance increments on their obtaining B.Ed./D.Ed. certificate both prior to appointment as well as subsequent to the appointment which is being otherwise provided to the Govt. teachers.
2. The claim of the petitioners are based on the fact that the nature of duties discharged by them as Shiksha Karmi is identical and similar to the government teachers and their services have also now got merged with the Education Department. Therefore, the benefits which are being extended to the government teachers should also be extended to the petitioners. It seems that the petitioners for redressal of the grievance, till date, not

moved any representation. Let the petitioners make a detailed representation to the respondents in this regard.

3. Given the nature of dispute and also the fact that the claim of the petitioners would require a policy decision on the part of the State Govt., this court does not intend to keep the petition pending any further, rather ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 & 2 to take a decision on the representation so filed by the petitioners and shall decide as to whether the petitioners would also be entitled for similar benefits as are being granted to the regular government teachers. It is ordered accordingly.
4. Let this exercise be concluded within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioners to bring the order of this Court to the notice of respondents 1 & 2 along with any fresh representation, if the petitioners, so prefers.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on merits of the case so far as the entitlement of the petitioners is concerned. The Respondents shall be at liberty to take a decision independently.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Jyoti