

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 786 of 2019**

Anil Jain S/o Late Shri M.L. Jain Aged About 61 Years R/o MIG 38,
Mahaveer Nagar Purena Telibandha Raipur Police Station New
Rajendra Nagar Tahsil And District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary Department Of Home/
Police Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya Police Station And Post Rakhi
Atal Nagar Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director General Of Police (DGP) Police Headquarter (PHQ) Near
Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya Police Station And Post Rakhi Atal
Nagar Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Additional Director General Of Police (ADGP) Intelligence Police
Head Quarter (PHQ) Near Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya Police
Station And Post Rakhi Atal Nagar Raipur District Raipur
Chhattisgarh
4. Inspector General Of Police (IGP) Intelligence Police Head Quarter
(PHQ) Near Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya Police Station And Post
Rakhi Atal Nagar Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. Deputy Inspector General Of Police (DIGP) Intelligence Police Head
Quarter (PHQ) Near Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya Police Station
And Post Rakhi Atal Nagar Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate along with Mr. Abhishek Pandey, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Astha Shukla, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****06/02/2019**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the
petitioner presently is holding the post of Subedar (Ministerial) and
has all the requisite eligibility criteria and experience for being
promoted to the post of Inspector (Ministerial).
2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner has been superseded vide
Annexure P/2 dated 08.07.2011, when one Mr. Simon Ekka has

been promoted against two vacancies that were available in the year 2011.

3. Perusal of the record would show that the said Simon Ekka in fact belongs to a schedule tribe category and in all probability, he must have been accommodated against the reserved category post. Moreover, it appears that the petitioner has been repeatedly making representations to the department for ventilating his grievances.
4. Given the entire facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner make another fresh representation to the respondent No.2 along with all relevant records and documents that he has in his possession in respect of his claim and the respondent No.2 in turn may decide the representation in accordance with the rules and regulations governing the field at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge