

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 704 of 2019**

Kewal Chand Yadav S/o Late Bridge Lal Yadav Aged About 34 Years R/o Village Bhainshmundi, P O Sarabong, Police Station Nuapada, District Nuapada Odisha., District : Nuapada *, Orissa

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Food Corporation Of India Through Its Managing Director, Head Office, Food Corporation Of India , New Delhi 110001, District : New Delhi, Delhi
2. Food Corporation Of India Through Its General Manager, Zonal Office, Food Corporation Of India (West), New Building , Rajendra Nagar, Dattapada Road, Boriwali (East). Mumbai-66., District : Mumbai, Maharashtra
3. Manager (Admn) Food Corporation Of India, District Office, Vidhansabha Road, Kapa, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Assistant General Manager (Personnel), Food Corporation Of India , Regional Office, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Executive Director (Wz) Food Corporation Of India, Zonal Office (West), 2nd Floor, Rajendra Nagar, Datta Pada Road, Borivali (East), Mumbai-400066., District : Mumbai, Maharashtra

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Raj Bahadur Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent	:	Mr. R. M. Solapurkar, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****04/02/2019**

1. Given the nature of relief sought for the default pointed out by the Registry stands over ruled with the consent of the party matter heard and finally disposed of.
2. The claim of the petitioner for grant of compassionate appointment against the death of his mother late Smt. Basanti Yadav who died in harness on 02.03.2000. It appears that the claim of the petitioner has till date not been finalised by the

respondent.

3. At this juncture the counsel for the respondent on advance notice submits that it appears from Annexure P-6 dated 04.05.2018 that the case of the petitioner is under processed
4. Given the said submission by the counsel for the respondent this court of the opinion that no fruitful purpose would be serve in keeping the writ petition pending before this court rather ends of justice would meet if the direction is given to the respondents no. 2& 4 to process the claim of the petitioner and take a final decision at the earliest in accordance with the scheme for compassionate appointment applicable in the department.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit