

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 732 of 2019

Atulesh Kumar Tiwari Aged About 34 Years S/o Shri R.L. Tiwari, R/o House No. 56/6, Vidya Bhawan, Dipupara, Bijapur, Tahsil And Police Station And District Bijapur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The Commissioner, Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Collector-Cum-District Coordinatoor (MNREGA) Bijapur, Chhattisgarh.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Bijapur, Chhattisgarh.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Bhairamgarh, District Bijapur, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

---

|                |   |                                  |
|----------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For petitioner | : | Shri Rishi Rahul Soni, Advocate. |
| For State      | : | Shri Rahul Mishra, Dy.G.A.       |

---

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

05/02/2019

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order (Annexure P-1) dated 05.07.2018 whereby the services of the petitioner as contractual employee has been terminated after serving one month notice. Perusal of the record would show that petitioner has not approached the higher authorities before approaching this Court under Article 226.
2. Given the facts, let petitioner file a detailed representation/objection to the respondent No. 2 ventilating his grievance and on such

representation/objection being received the Collector is expected to decide the same objectively taking into consideration all relevant facts and circumstances and on due scrutiny of the papers in the department. It is expected that Collector shall take a decision at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

3. It is relevant at this juncture to mention that the authorities in the department while considering the case/representation of the petitioner shall also take note of the fact that, the Commissioner himself on an earlier occasion vide order dated 06/08/2018 had ordered for consideration of the case of the petitioner for further extension.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sumit

Sd/-  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
JUDGE