

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 635 of 2019

C.L. Gupta S/o Late Durga Prasad Gupta, Aged About 68 Years, R/o Ward No.22, Anupam Nagar, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary Department Of Urban Administration And Development Mahanadi Bhawan, Capital Complex, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Directorate, Urban Administration And Development, Through The Director, Urban Administration And Development, Indrawati Bhawan, Capital Complex, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Municipal Corporation, Rajnandgaon, Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

--Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. G.V.K. Rao, Advocate
For Respondent no. 3	:	Mr. Sourabh Sharma, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Sameer Behar. P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

31/01/2019

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is in respect of the GPF dues which have been paid to the petitioner pursuant to the order passed by this Court in WPS. No. 805 of 2018 decided on 13.02.2018. The record show that pursuant to the disposal of the writ petition the department has paid the amount of Rs. 5,07,472/- to the petitioner and contention of the petitioner is that he is entitled for a total amount of Rs. 7,19,620/-. According to the petitioner this calculation of Rs.7,19,620/- is based on the details calculated by the petitioner based on information received from the department under the Right to Information Act that the petitioner has also made a detailed

representation to the respondent no. 3, in this regard along with the calculation details.

2. This Court is of the opinion that since the dispute only revolves around the calculation part and the petitioner having given the details in respect of the calculation that he has made let the respondent no. 3 scrutinize the representation of the petitioner and after giving the petitioner an opportunity of hearing pass an appropriate order deciding whether the petitioner would be entitled for the amount that he is claiming for. Let this exercise be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Sd/
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

vivek