

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 482 of 2019

Bharti Chandrakar W/o Virendra Chandrakar Aged About 31 Years
Presently Working As Teacher (L. .B) At Govt. Middle School, Gochhiya,
Block S. Lohara, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary Department Of Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Director Directorate Of Chhattisgarh, Public Instructions, Atal Nagar Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Kabirdham, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh., District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh
5. District Education Officer Kabirdham District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.
6. Block Education Officer S. Lohara, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri C.Jayant K.Rao, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Sameer Behar, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam KoshyOrder on Board28/01/2019

1. The limited prayer which the petitioner has sought in this writ petition is for a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on the claim of the petitioners for grant of two advance increments on his obtaining B.Ed./D.Ed. certificate both prior to appointment as well as subsequent to the appointment which is being otherwise provided to the Govt. teachers.

2. The claim of the petitioner is based on the fact that the nature of duties discharged by him as Shiksha Karmis is identical and similar to the government teachers and his services has also now got merged with the Education Department. Therefore, the benefits which are being extended to the government teachers should also be extended to the petitioner. The petitioner in this regard has made representations to the respondents which are collectively marked in the petition as Annexure P-4.

3. Given the nature of dispute and also the fact that the claim of the petitioner would require a policy decision on the part of the State Govt., this court does not intend to keep the petition pending any further, rather ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 & 2 to take a decision in this regard as to whether the petitioner would also be entitled for similar benefits as are being granted to the regular government teachers. It is ordered accordingly. Let this exercise be concluded within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to bring the order of this Court to the notice of respondents 1 & 2 along with any fresh representation, if they so prefer.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on merits of the case so far as the entitlement of the petitioner is concerned. The Respondents shall be at liberty to take a decision independently.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE