

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 612 of 2019

1. Renuka Verma D/o Shri Sevak Ram Verma Aged About 29 Years Guest Faculty Biotechnology Government Gajanand Agrawal Pg College Bhatapara, District- Balodabazar, Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh, R/o- Plot No. 48, Surya Nagar Sonkar Badi Durg, District- Durg, Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
2. Seema Chandravanshi D/o Shri Krishna Chandravanshi Aged About 25 Years Occupation- Guest Lecturer Subject- Sociology Govt. Atal Bihari Vajpeyi College Pandatarai, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh, R/o- Village And Post Palansari Tehsil Pandariya, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh., District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh
3. Manikant S/o Shri Tirithram Aged About 24 Years Occupation Guest Lecturer Chemistry Govt. Naveen College Aondhi (Manpur), District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh R/o- Village Kanakati Post Saloni Tehsil Palari, District- Balodabazar, Chhattisgarh., District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Pankaj Das Sahu S/o Shri Khulas Sahu Aged About 24 Years Occupation- Guest Lecturer Botany, Govt. Naveen College Gurur District- Balod, Chhattisgarh. R/o- Village Mohela Post Bharegaon, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
5. Roshan Kashyap S/o Shri Kashi Kashyap Aged About 35 Years Occupation Guest Lecturer Subject English, Govt. Kalidas College Pratappur, District- Surajpur, Chhattisgarh, R/o- Nagar Palika Gali Imlipara Ambikapuer, District- Surguja, Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
6. Yogeshwar Kumar S/o Shri Hirde Ram Aged About 25 Years Occupation Guest Lecturer Zoology Govt. Naveen College Aondhi (Manpur) Distt. Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh, R/o- Village Murargota Post Khadgaon, Tehsil Manpur, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
7. Ravi Bharti S/o Shri Late Rajaram Bharti Aged About 25 Years Occupation Guest Lecturer Botany Govt. Naveen College Aondhi (Manpur), District- Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh. R/o- Village Potiya Post Vijetala Tehsil Khairagarh, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
8. Neha Kunjam D/o Shri Gokaran Singh Aged About 26 Years Occupation Guest Faculty Microbiology, Govt. Shahid Kaushal Yadav College Gundardehi District- Balod, Chhattisgarh, R/o- Quarter No. 4, Face No. 3, Radhika Vihar, Sipat Road Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Mantralaya, Indiravati Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Higher Education, Block C-3, 2nd And 3rd Floor, Indiravati Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. Principal Government Gajanand Agrawal Pg College Bhatapara, District- Balodabazar, Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh., District : Balodabazar-Bhathapara, Chhattisgarh
4. Principal Govt. Atal Bihari Vajpeyi College Pandatarai, District- Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh., District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh
5. Principal Govt. Naveen College Aondhi (Manpur) District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
6. Principal Govt. Naveen College Gurur, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh., District : Balod, Chhattisgarh
7. Principal Govt. Kalidas College Pratappur, District- Surajpur, Chhattisgarh., District : Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
8. Principal Govt. Shahid Kaushal Yadav College Gundardehi, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh., District : Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri Brindavan Tiwari, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Salim Kazi, Dy. AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

31/01/2019

1. The petitioner in the present writ petition is aggrieved by the decision of the State Govt. dated 09.10.2018 Annexure P-1 whereby the State Govt., as a precautionary measure, has directed the concerned Principals of the Govt. Colleges not to appoint any Guest Faculty in respect of those subjects where in previous years the arrangement was being made by way of Guest Faculty.
2. The instruction so issued by the State Govt. appears to be in the light of some directions given by this Court in a couple of writ petitions, the leading of which being WPS No. 4938 of 2018 and other analogous matters which came up for hearing before this Court and where this Court had granted interim relief on 31.07.2018.
3. According to the petitioner, he had already been granted appointment and by virtue of appointment, he is still discharging his duties. Contention of the counsel for the petitioner is that the college in which the petitioner is

working and also the subject which the petitioner is teaching, there is no litigation in as much as there is no writ petition against the said college and also against the subject in which the petitioner is teaching. It is the further contention of the petitioner that the order Annexure P-1 dated 09.10.2018 is only an order passed by the department to avoid a situation of contempt of Court. According to the petitioner, the contempt of Court would arise only in the event of there being a specific direction or a writ issued against a particular college or against a particular subject which in the instant case does not exist.

4. This fact is not disputed by the State counsel.
5. Given the said facts and also taking note of the directions given by this Court vide order dated 31.07.2018 in the bunch of writ petitions, it is evidently clear that this Court had granted interim protection to only those specific petitioners who had approached the High Court and the interim protection also was confined to the subject against which each of the petitioners were working. This Court in the subsequent series of litigation of similar nature had specifically mentioned that the recruitment process, if any, would be permitted to be continued except for filling up those posts where there is a claim by the previous academic sessions' guest faculties and the said interim order would come into force only in the event of the posts are lying vacant and it has not been filled up before the interim orders were passed by this Court.
6. Given the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the opinion that the services of the petitioner since have not been questioned in any other writ petition and that the petitioner is still by virtue of appointment granted to his continuing in service, his services should not be discontinued.
7. So far as the claim of the petitioner for remuneration as per the UGC regulations is concerned, let petitioner prefer an appropriate representation

to the appointing authority in this regard who in turn shall take appropriate decision in accordance with the rules, guidelines and regulations governing the field, within an outer limit of 90 days from the date of receipt of the representation.

8. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)

JUDGE

Rohit