

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPCR No. 130 of 2015**

Dr. Suresh Chandra Tiwari, aged about 54 years, S/o Late Shri Lallan Tiwari, Occupation- Service- Assistant Professor, Kamla Nehru College, Korba, R/o Village Dadar Khurd, Ward no. 22, Thana- Police Chowki Manikpur, District- Korba C.G.

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Department of Home Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur C.G.
2. Inspector General of Police, Bilaspur-Range, Bilaspur C.G.
3. Collector, Korba, District Korba, C.G.
4. Superintendent Of Police, Korba, C.G.
5. Station House Officer, Police Chowki Manikpur, District- Korba, C.G.
6. Manish Sharma S/o Shri Late Vijay Sharma, Aged about 42 years, R/o Purani Basti, Rani Gate, Thana- City Kotwali, District Korba C.G.
7. Dilip Dewangan S/o Shri Ghana Ram Dewangan, aged about 40 years, R/o D.D.M. Road Korba, Thana- City Kotwali, District- Korba C.G.

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	: Mr. Vinay Pandey, Advocate
For Respondent No. 1 to 5/State	: Ms. Shivali Dubey, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

26.04.2019

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in view of the light of *para 6* of the return filed by the State, this writ petition be disposed of.
2. *Para 6* of the return filed by the State states as under:-

“It is most respectfully submitted by the answering respondents (State) that, in the present the answering

respondent No. 5 has duly registered the F.I.R. (Annexure R/1) against the accused Hazi Amin Meman and filed charge sheet in accordance with law. It is also submitted that the investigation is still going on and upon finding evidence the respondents No. 6 & 7 would be arrested and charge sheet would be filed against them. It is also pertinent to submit herein that the answering respondents (State) have duly followed the direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in the matter of Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of U.P.”

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of *Para 6* of the return filed by the State. However, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge