

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 383 of 2019

Rajendra Singh Verma S/o Main Singh Verma, Aged About 37 Years,  
R/o Civil Lines Parsabhader, Balodabazar, Post Office Balodabazar  
Bhatapara, District Balodabazar, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Skill Development Technical Education And Employment, Secretariat, Mahanadi Bhawan, Police Station And Post Rakhi, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner, Directorate Of Employment And Training, Raipur, Raipur District, Chhattisgarh.
3. Principal ITI, Mana Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

---

|                |   |                          |
|----------------|---|--------------------------|
| For petitioner | : | Shri K.R.Nair, Advocate. |
| For State      | : | Shri Salim Kazi, Dy.A.G. |

---

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

28/01/2019

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised through the instant Writ Petition is the apprehension of he being discontinued from service as Contractual Guest Lecturer working at I.T.I., Mana, Raipur where the petitioner is working on contractual basis after the present contract period.
2. The counsel for the petitioner submits that, till date, the respondents have not taken any steps for filling up the post on regular basis and therefore the respondents may only be restrained not to discontinue the services of the petitioner and replace him by another set of Contractual Guest Lecturer.

3. The relief sought for by the petitioner seems to be fair and reasonable in the light of the fact that the petitioner has been working with the respondents for almost a decade now on contractual basis.
4. Without entering into the merits of the case any further, we only dispose off the Writ Petition directing the State to ensure that the petitioners's services are not replaced by another set as of contractual employees. In the event if the respondents intends to continue with the contractual employment, the petitioner has a preferential right for the said post.
5. Needless to mention that, the respondents would always be at liberty to fill up this post by way of regular appointment.
6. With the aforesaid observation, the Writ Petition stands disposed off.
7. Certified copy today.

Sd/-

**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**JUDGE**

Sumit