

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 286 of 2019**

Pradeep Kumar Singhaniya S/o Shri Salikram Singhniya Aged About 54 Years Presently Working As Sub Engineer In The Office Of Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Sub Division No. 1, Durg ,Durg Division ,R/o Plot No. 60 ,new Khursipar ,bhilai 12, Tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary ,Public Works Department Mantralaya Atal Nagar Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Engineer In Chief, Public Work Department ,Nirman Bhawan Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Cheif Engineer Public Works Department Raipur ,district Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Executive Engineer P.W.D., Durg ,division ,district Durg Chhattisgarh.
5. Sub Divisional Officer P.W.D., Durg Sub Division No. 1, District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Kishore Narayan, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****16/01/2019**

1. With the consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for hearing finally.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed as Sub-Engineer on daily wage basis on 17.10.1986 against the vacant post. The services of the petitioner were continued with artificial break of two or three days in

service and worked continuously till the date of his regularization.

The petitioner was given the benefits of annual increments.

3. The similarly situated employees have approached the State Administrative Tribunal (for short ("SAT")) in Original Application No. 1979/91 (Satish Kumar Mandloi Vs. State of M.P. And another) praying for similar relief as sought for in this petition. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this case may also be disposed off in the same terms and conditions.
4. Learned counsel for the State in the light of the order of the SAT as aforestated, submits that after verifying the facts of the case, petitioner's case shall be considered.
5. In view of the foregoing and categorical statement made by the learned counsel appearing for the State, this petition is allowed in the same terms i.e. if the Committee finds the petitioner suitable for the post in all respect, he shall be regularized on the post held by him and shall also pay him salary on regular scale. He shall be entitled to salary for the dates on break in service. His seniority shall be counted from the date of his initial appointment.
6. No costs.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge