

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CONT No. 63 of 2019**

Smt. Anjana Nath W/o Shri Ajit Kumar Nath, aged about 69 years,
R/o Mission Boys Hostel, Mandir Chowk, Jarhabhata, Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Versus

Shri Kirtiman Rathour, aged about 50 years, The Sub Divisional
Officer (Revenue) / Land Acquisition Officer, Kota, Distt. Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

CONT No. 69 of 2019

Jagat Ram Khuntay, S/o Samaru Ram, aged about 40 years, R/o
Village Bharari (Wia Ganiyari) P.S. Kota Tahsil Takhatpur, Distt.
Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Shri Kirtiman Rathour, aged about 50 years, The Sub Divisional
Officer (Revenue) / Land Acquisition Officer, Kota, Distt. Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

CONT No. 70 of 2019

Kiritram Sahu, S/o Late Shri Sanyasi Ram, aged about 50 years, R/o
Village Bharari (Wia Ganiyari) P.S. Kota, Tahsil Takhatpur, Distt.
Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Shri Kirtiman Rathour, aged about 50 years, The Sub Divisional
Officer (Revenue) / Land Acquisition Officer, Kota, Distt. Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

CONT No. 74 of 2019

Ram Charan Sahu, S/o Late Shri Jogiram Sahu, aged about 44 years, R/o Village Bharari (Wia Ganiyari) P.S. Kota, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Shri Kirtiman Rathour, aged about 50 years, The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) / Land Acquisition Officer, Kota, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

CONT No. 80 of 2019

Samaru Ram, S/o Late Shri Puchan Ram, aged about 65 years, R/o Village Bharari (Wia Ganiyari) P.S. Kota, Tahsil Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Shri Kirtiman Rathour, aged about 50 years, The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) / Land Acquisition Officer, Kota, Distt. Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Vipin Tiwari, Advocate
For Respondent/Contemnor	:	Mr. Anup Majumdar, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

08/03/2019

1. This batch of contempt petitions has been filed alleging non-compliance of the orders passed by this Court in the five respective writ petitions.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the orders complained of have not been complied with substantially and it has been flouted willfully.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent/contemnor submits that the remedy of the petitioners is to file application under Section 64 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (henceforth, 'Act of 2013').
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties, considered their rival submissions and went through the records with utmost circumspection.
5. It appears from the records that petitioners' representation has been considered and decided and it has already been held that the remedy of the petitioners is to file application under Section 64 of the Act of 2013.
6. In view of the aforesaid observations, the contempt petitions are closed. Petitioners are at liberty to proceed further in accordance with law. Rule issued against the contemnor is discharged.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Harneet