

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1954 of 2012

1. Panchram Mahadeva S/o Shri Babulal, Aged about 42 years, Presently working as Accounts Officer, Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojna, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh
2. Prem Lal Sahara, S/o. Shri Dewar Singh, Aged about 38 years, Presently working as Accounts Officer at Zila Panchayat, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
3. Gajendra Prakash Baghel, S/o. Shri Chhedilal, Aged about 42 years, Presently working as Accounts Officer and posted at Zila Panchayat, Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
4. H.P. Porte, S/o. Shri Somnath, Aged about 47 years, Presently working as Accounts Officer and posted at Zila Panchayat, Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
5. Dilip Kumar Ravi, S/o. Shri N. Ram, Aged about 40 years, Presently working as Accounts Officer and posted at Commissioner Office, Revenue Board, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
6. J.R. Ravte, S/o. Shri B.R. Rawte, Aged about 43 years, Presently working as Accounts Officer and posted in the office of Pradhan Mantri Gramin Sadak Yojana, Durg, District Durg, Chhattisgarh
7. Bhupal Singh Mandavi, S/o. Late Maksudan Lal, Aged about 50 years, Presently working as Accounts Officer and posted in the office of Pradhan Mantri Sadak Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
8. Salikram Beldar, S/o. Gokul Prasad, Aged about 54 years, Presently working as Assistant Director, Treasury, Accounts and Pension, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, through: the secretary, Department of Finance and Planning, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner, Treasury, Accounts and Pension, Shankar Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Additional Director, Treasury Accounts and Pension, Shankar Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Sushil Dubey, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

04/07/2019

1. Today when the matter is taken up, the counsel for the petitioners makes a limited prayer that the petitioners may be permitted to make a suitable representation to the State Government in respect of his limited prayer for considering their claim for promotion to the State Finance Services w.e.f. 2009 i.e. the year they had become eligible for promotion on completion of 5 years of service in the Subordinate Accounts Services.
2. The petitioners further submit that they have been given the promotion in the year 2011 and as such they have been put to loss for about two years.
3. The State counsel does not oppose the request that the petitioners have made.
4. Accordingly, the petitioners are permitted to make a fresh representation to the respondent No.1 for ventilating their grievances. In the event, if the petitioners make representation supported with all relevant records, the respondent No.1 shall consider and decide the same in accordance with its merit within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the copy of the representation.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved