

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CRMP No. 490 of 2011**

Jai Prakash Shukla, S/o Late Govind Prasad Shukla, aged about 49 years, R/o Behind Sai Temple, Dangania, College Ward, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Home Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Shri Venkateshwar Singh Banafar (Notary), aged about 63, Advocate, R/o Village & Post Thathari, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
3. Station House Officer Incharge, Police Station Jaijaipur, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
4. Smt. Vinodini Tandi, Sub Inspector, Police Station Jaijaipur, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
5. Shri Niranjana Shrivastava, Advocate, Aged about 40 years, R/o Kalmidih, Post Beladula, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
6. Shri Madan Singh Khandey, Advocate, Aged about 42 years, R/o Jaijaipur, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
7. Shri R.L. Ratre, Advocate, Aged about 46 years, R/o Jaijaipur, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
8. Shri Ayodhya Prasad Chandra, Advocate, aged about 50 years, R/o Village Kanshigarh, Post Kanshigarh, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
9. Shri Data Ram, Son of Paras Chandra, Aged about 42 years, R/o Village Kalmidih, Post Beladula, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.
10. Shri Age Das, Son of Pitamber Das, aged about 43 years, R/o Village Kalmidih, Post Beladula, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Harshwardhan Parganiha and Mr. Rajat Agrawal, Advocates
For Respondents	:	Ms. Nirupama Bajpai, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Order on Board

01/05/2019

1. This criminal miscellaneous petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure had been preferred by the petitioner for quashing of the F.I.R. However, pursuant to the quashing of the F.I.R, Cr.M.P. No. 656 of 2016 was filed by the petitioner for seeking quashment of chargesheet and F.I.R. and the same was allowed by the order dated 02/01/2017. As such, this criminal miscellaneous petition has become infructuous.
2. Accordingly, this criminal miscellaneous petition stands dismissed having become infructuous.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge