

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 110 of 2019**

Subhash Chandra Kosariya S/o Shri Hariprasad Kosariya, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village And Post Lavan, Police Station Kasdol, Tehsil And District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Union Of India Through The Secretary, Department Of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi, India
2. Inspector General Of Police, Central Reserve Police Force, Sector 17, Atal Nagar (Naya Raipur), Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Deputy Inspector General Of Police, Central Reserve Police Force, Range Jagdalpur, Jagdalpur, District Bastar, Chhattisgarh
4. Commandant 188 Battalion, Central Reserve Police Force, Kondagaon, District Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vikash Dubey, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****09/01/2019**

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised through the present writ petition is for a direction to respondent no.2 to take a decision on the second appeal which the petitioner has preferred.
2. The brief facts relevant for disposal of the writ petition are that the petitioner was working as a Constable under the respondents when he was inflicted with the punishment of termination from service vide order dated 24.09.2015. Against the order of termination the

petitioner preferred an appeal before respondent no.3 who in turn vide order dated 17.03.2016 rejected the same affirming the termination order. Thereafter, the petitioner is said to have preferred a second appeal on 08.02.2018 before respondent no.2 along with an application for condonation of delay supported with relevant documents but the said appeal till date has not been decided by respondent no.2.

3. Given the factual matrix of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to take a decision on the appeal of the petitioner at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It is expected that respondent no.2 shall pass a speaking order while deciding the appeal.
4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge