

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 92 of 2019

Ashok Kumar Rathore S/o Late Shri Lachchhiam Rathore Aged About 56 Years Presently Working As Draftsman In The Office Of Superintendent Engineer P. W. D. Raipur, Division No. 1, Raipur, R/o Old G-1, P. W. D. Colony, Behind Rajbhawan, Civil Line, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Engineer In Chief Public Works Department, Sirpur Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Engineer Public Works Department, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Executive Engineer P. W. D. Raipur, Division No. 1, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Superintending Engineer P. W. D. Raipur, Division No. 1, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For petitioner	:	Shri Kishore Narayan, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

09/01/2019

1. With the consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for hearing finally.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed as Draftsman on ad daily wage basis on 11.01.1985 against the vacant post. The services of the petitioner were continued with artificial break of two or three days in service and worked continuously till the date of his regularization. The petitioner was given the benefits of annual increments.
3. The similarly situated employees have approached the State Administrative Tribunal (for short ("SAT")) in Original Application No. 1979/91 (Satish Kumar Mandloi Vs. State of M.P. And another) praying for similar relief as sought for in

this petition. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this case may also be disposed off in the same terms and conditions.

4. Learned counsel for the State in the light of the order of the SAT as aforesaid, submits that after verifying the facts of the case, petitioner's case shall be considered.

5. In view of the foregoing and categorical statement made by the learned counsel appearing for the State, this petition is allowed in the same terms i.e. if the Committee finds the petitioner suitable for the post in all respect, he shall be regularized on the post held by him and shall also pay him salary on regular scale. He shall be entitled to salary for the dates on break in service. His seniority shall be counted from the date of his initial appointment.

6. No costs.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

Rohit