

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 51 of 2019

Narayan Lal Sahu S/o Ramesh Prasad Sahu, Aged About 44 Years,
Posted As Lecturer Panchayat, Government Higher Secondary
School Kotmikala, Block Pendra, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhwan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Deputy Secretary, State Of Chhattisgarh, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Pendra, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Basant Kaiwartya, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Saleem Kazi, Additional A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

08/01/2019

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Municipal Corporation and subsequently applied

for recruitment on the higher post under the Panchayat services and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore he is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)
4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “Shabnum Khatun” (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors).
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE