

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 146 of 2019**

1. Vinay Kumar Choubey S/o Late Anil Choubey Aged About 49 Years Working As A Class- 4 Employee, Municipal Corporation Office, Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
2. Gopichand Rathore S/o Late Punuy Ram Rathore Aged About 48 Years Working As A Class- 4 Employee, Municipal Corporation Office, Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
3. Vinod Kumar Kanwar S/o Heera Singh Kanwar Aged About 42 Years Working As A Class- 4 Employee, Municipal Corporation Office, Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
4. Kesar Lal Kanwar S/o Tikait Ram Kanwar Aged About 52 Years Working As A Class- 4 Employee, Municipal Corporation Office, Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
5. Savitri Bai W/o Late Nohriram Aged About 53 Years Working As A Class- 4 Employee, Municipal Corporation Office, Korba District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Principle Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development , Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Under Secretary Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Municipal Corporation Korba Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
4. Commissioner Municipal Corporation, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Awadh Tripathi, Advocate
For Respondent	:	Mr. Adil Minhaj, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

27/03/2019

1. Counsel for the petitioner makes a limited prayer that this writ petition may be disposed off by directing the respondents to consider the representation that the petitioner has made so far as the claim of regularization is concerned.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner have worked with the respondents for more than a decade and as per the circular of the State Government dated 05/03/2008 and the subsequent circulars also in this regard they are entitled for regularization.
3. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that as on date there are more than 175 post created under the respondents wherein the petitioner can be accommodated by the respondents considering their length of service.
4. Counsel for the respondent do not have any objection so far as the deciding the representation of the petitioners is concerned. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed off directing the respondents to consider the representation for regularization moved by the petitioners at the earliest preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge