

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Second Appeal No.09 of 2006**

1. Punita Ram, aged about 40 years, son of Shri Budhram Verma,
2. Dashrath son of Shri Shivilal Verma, aged about 50 years,

All are resident of village Katharideeh, P.H. No.27, R.N.M. Sandi, Tah. Baloda Bazar, Distt. Raipur (CG)

---- Appellants/Defendant

Versus

1. Tejram son of Shri Vishram aged about 43 years.
 2. Narmada son of Shri Dev Singh Verma, aged about 40 years,
 3. Parvat aged about 35 years, son of Shri Dev Singh Verma,
 4. Khamhan aged about 30 years, son of Shri Dev Singh Verma,
 5. Shankar son of Shri Vishnu Verma, aged about 30 years,
 6. Bhola aged about 27 years, son of Shri Vishnu Verma,
 7. Rama Son of Shri Ram Prasad Verma, (since dead), through his legal heirs:
 - a. Tirith Ram son of Shri Ram Verma, aged about 46 years
 - b. Phagua son of Shri Rama Verma, aged about 42 years,
 - c. Gangu Ram son of Shri Rama Verma, aged about 30 years,
 - d. Shiv Kumar son of Shri Rama Verma, aged about 22 years,
 - e. Phagni Bai daughter of Rama Verma, aged about 38 years,
 - f. Khediya Bai, daughter of Rama Verma, aged about 35 years,
 - g. Rohni Bai, daughter of Rama Verma, aged about 32 years,
 8. Bhakla son of Shri Baijnath Verrma, aged about 45 years,
 9. Khorbahra, son of Shri Baijnath Verma, aged about 40 years,
 10. Dhola, son of Shri Bishnu Verma, aged about 26 years,
- All are resident of village Patharideeh, P.H. No.27, R.N.M. Sandi, Tah. Baloda Bazar, Distt. Raipur (CG)
11. The State of Chhattisgarh through the District Collector, Raipur (CG)

12. Panch Ram son of Shri Latel Ram Baghel, aged about 32 years, resident of village Palari, Tah. Baloda Bazar, Distt. Raipur (CG)

13. Jhaduram, aged about 40 years, son of Shri Sakharam Verma

14. Gokul son of Shri Bhagchand Jaiswal, aged about 35 years,

15. Milau, aged about 35 yers, son of Shri Kabiram Jaiswal,

All are resident of village Katharideeh, P.H. No.27, R.N.M. Sandi, Tah. Baloda Bazar, Distt. Raipur (CG)

---- Respondents

For Appellants	: None present
For Respondents	: None present

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal
Judgment on Board

25/07/2019

1. Yesterday i.e. on 24.7.2019 the matter was called out for hearing twice, but no one appeared on behalf of the appellants. Today, also when the case is called out for hearing, none appeared on behalf of the appellant. No representation is made.
2. In view of above, this Court has left with no other option except to dismiss the second appeal for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the second appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K.Agrawal)
Judge