

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P(S) No. 5915 of 2017

G. Sarat Naidu

... Petitioner(s).

Versus

- 1.** The Union of India through the Secretary Railway Board, Rail Bhawan, Sansad Marg, New Delhi
 - 2.** The General Manager, South Eastern Railway, Garden Reach, Kolkata.
 - 3.** The Divisional Railway Manager, Adra Division of South Eastern Railway, Adra, Purulia, West Bengal.
- ... Respondent(s).

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA SEN.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. A.K. Mahato, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Gautam Rakesh, Advocate.

07/22.02.2019: In this writ petition, the petitioner has claimed the benefit of Loyal Staff Quota Scheme, which was floated by the Railway.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner should have been given appointment in place of his father who was a loyal staff.

A preliminary objection has been raised by the counsel for the Railway stating that the father of this petitioner was an employee within the jurisdiction of West Bengal as he was working and superannuated from Adra, which falls within the jurisdiction of Calcutta High Court. This fact would be evident from paragraph 8 of the writ petition also. He further submits that the petitioner should have approached the Central Administrative Tribunal at Kolkata for redressal of his grievance as no cause of action has arisen within the Jharkhand State. He also submits that the father of the petitioner superannuated from Adra, which falls under the State of West Bengal.

In view of the aforesaid preliminary objection, I am dismissing the writ petition without entering into the merit of this case as this Court has no jurisdiction.

Accordingly, this writ petition is **dismissed**.