

03-12-2019
Court No.2
Sh/20.

**CIRCUIT BENCH OF
CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
AT JALPAIGURI
WRIT APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

W.P.A. 852 OF 2019

**Mamata Banerjee. . . . Petitioner.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.... Respondents.**

Ms. Arpita Saha.

. . .For the Petitioner.

Mr. Bikramaditya Ghosh,

Mr. Monemur Rahaman.

. . .For the State.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

2. The petitioner was an Assistant Teacher of Hakimpura Balika Bidyalaya, Darjeeling, who retired from service on 31-05-2011. The petitioner had completed all pension-related formalities. However, the concerned authorities delayed and released her arrear pension amount on 03-07-2013. The petitioner herein seeks interest to be paid on the arrear pension for the interim period of delay in receipt of the arrear pension amount. There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither parties have suffered due to this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed. The petitioner relies upon an order in W.P.17557(W) of 2017 (Narayan Chandra Saha Vs. State of West Bengal & ors.) wherein a co-ordinate bench had relied upon the Supreme Court judgement in

the case of Union of India Vs. Tarmen Singh, reported in (2008) 8 S.C.C. 648 on the issue of limitation relating to payment or refixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court had held that relief may be granted inspite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

3. In view of the above and after hearing the learned Counsel for the parties, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the petitioner @ 8% per annum on the arrear pension amount calculated from 01-07-2011 till the date of actual payment, that is, 03-07-2013. Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

4. This writ petition being W.P.A. 852 (W) of 2019 is, accordingly, disposed of.

5. Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied.

6. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be handed over to the parties on compliance of necessary formalities.

(SHEKHAR B. SARAF, J)