

**CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
IN THE CIRCUIT BENCH AT JALPAIGURI**

19.08.2019
tkm/ct 1
sl no. 179

C.R.M. 648 of 2019

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 29.7.2019 in connection with Tufanganj P.S case no. 102 of 2019 dated 14.3.2019 under sections 341/354D/506/509/376/511 of the IPC

And

Allowed

In Re : Ranjan Roy

..... petitioner

Mr. P. Bhowmick

..... for the petitioners

Mr. Saikat Chatterjee

Mr. Aniruddha Biswas

..... for the State

It is submitted that the petitioner and the de facto complainant were acquainted to each other at the work place and there was an intimate relationship between them. Subsequently, he has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

Learned lawyer for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Having considered the materials in the case diary and bearing in mind the nature of allegations in the light of the submission that there was a work place romance between two consenting adults, we are of the opinion though custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary, petitioner requires to co-operate with investigation in accordance with law.

Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also subject to the conditions as laid

down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and on further condition that the petitioner shall meet the investigating officer once in a week while on bail until further orders and that the petitioner shall appear before the court below and pray for regular bail within four weeks from date.

The application being CRM 648 of 2019 is disposed of.

(Manojit Mandal, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)