

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84892 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2019 Thana- KARAKAT District- Rohtas

=====

Anu Kumar Son of Deonath Seth @ Devnath Sah, Resident of Village -
Motha, P.S.- Karakat, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sada Nand Roy, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Dayal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking anticipatory
bail in connection with Karakat P.S. Case No. 134 of 2019
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323,
324, 452, 307, 427, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. It is further submitted that the injury is simple in
nature and the same is made out against co-accused Manu
Kumar @ Ravi Ranjan Kumar.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for
anticipatory bail.



Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, wherein the allegation is that this petitioner along with his two accomplices was indulged in beating the informant and had given a cut on his right ear, there being no assault on any vital part of the body by this petitioner and the injury shown in Annexure '2' on the ear is being simple in nature said to have been caused by hard and blunt substance, let the petitioner above named in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from today be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Bikramganj, Rohtas in connection with Karakat P.S. Case No. 134 of 2019, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. i.e.

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;



(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India
without the previous permission of the Court.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/ved

U		T	
---	--	---	--

