

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24706 of 2019**

=====

Kadambini Sharma Wife of Ravi Ranjan Kumar Pathak Resident of Pathak Tola, Loknathpur Semara, P.O. Semara, P.S.- Turkouliya, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Deputy Secretary Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate East Champaran.
4. The District Education Officer East Champaran.
5. The District Programme Officer, (Establishment) East Champaran.
6. The Chairman Zila Parishad, East Champaran cum- Chairman Secondary/Higher Secondary Teacher, Employment Committee, East Champaran, Motihari.
7. The Deputy Development Commission cum Chief Executive Officer Zila Parishad, East Champaran cum- member Secretary Secondary/Higher Secondary Teacher, Employment Committee, East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate     |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Madanjeet Kumar (GP20)     |
|                      |   | Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, AC to GP-20 |
| For Zila Parishad    | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate     |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      10-12-2019                      Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The claim of the petitioner for being included in the panel of successful candidates and make her participate in the counseling has been allowed by the Presiding Officer of District Teachers Appellate Authority, East Champaran at Motihari by order dated 21.11.2019.

The grievance of the petitioner now is that this



order is not being implemented.

Learned counsel appearing for Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 has submitted that against the aforesaid order of the District Teachers Appellate Authority, Motihari, appeal before the State Appellate Authority is in contemplation, for which limitation period has not yet expired.

This petition, therefore, appears to be premature.

In case an appeal is filed, the petitioner shall have the opportunity of contesting such appeal and if ultimately the claim of the petitioner is vindicated, it is needless to state that such order would be required to be implemented.

With the aforesaid observation / direction, this petition stands disposed off.

**(Ashutosh Kumar, J)**

skm/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

