

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82195 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2019 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

Rupesh Singh @ Hani Singh @ Rupesh Paswan @ Hany Singh Son of
Chandrika Paswan Resident of Village - Gautam Nagar, Road No.02, P.S.-
Bodhgaya, Distt.- Gaya ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar ... Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party : Mr. Binod Bihari Singh, Addl Public Prosecutor

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

2 12-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned counsel for the State.

Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 307/34 of the Indian
Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act.

Petitioner and other accused persons have been
alleged to have fired at the informant's brother.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
allegation levelled against the petitioner is false as the informant
has not produced any injury report, though as per the informant,
the victim had been treated initially at Magadh Medical College,
Gaya. He submits that even section 307 IPC is not made out in
such circumstance. Petitioner's name has come in the case on
the statement of witness during investigation. He was seen
sitting on a motorcycle in a start position at the place of
occurrence.

Considering the facts of the case, let the petitioner,
above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the Court
below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of
the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gaya in Mofassil Police Station Case No. 94 of 2019 on the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shashi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

