

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72817 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2015 Thana- MAHILA THANA District- Begusarai

Murari Kumar @ Murari Kumar Gupta, S/o Motilal Sah, Resident of village-
Purani Bazar Kalisthan, P.S. Teghra, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. Kumari Rajshree, daughter of Jai Prakash Sah, resident of village-
Brahmapur, P.S. Mansoorchak, District-Begusarai.

... .. Opposite Parties.

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.
For the State	:	Mr.
For the Informant/opposite party no.2:	:	Mr.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 12-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State as also the learned counsel for the

informant/opposite party no.2.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Mahila P.S. Case No.2 of 2015 registered under Section
376 of the Indian Penal Code.

The accusation is that the petitioner developed
physical relation with the informant/opposite party no.2 since
last two years on assurance to perform the marriage. Thereafter,
the petitioner and the informant/opposite party no.2 used to
meet at different places and the petitioner also took the money
from the informant/opposite party no.2. Later on, the petitioner



put the mobile number of the informant/opposite party no.2 in block list. Due to that reason, the informant/opposite party no.2 could not contact with the petitioner but she came to know from relative that the petitioner has settled his marriage with one Priti Kumari. As such, the petitioner made physical relation with the informant/opposite party no.2 on assurance of performing the marriage but, later on, refused to perform the marriage and cheated the informant/opposite party no.2.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the informant/opposite party no.2 is already married but only to put pressure upon the petitioner to perform remarriage with her, the informant/opposite party no.2 lodged the present case. In course of investigation, it has come in the statement of Jai Prakash Sah, who is the father of the informant/opposite party no.2, that the informant/opposite party no.2 is already married and when she came in the touch of the petitioner, asked him to perform the marriage, while he forbade her.

Having considered the facts and the circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender by him within six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Begusarai, in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No.2 of 2015, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

