

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1076 of 2019

=====

Sushil @ Sushila Devi, wife of Mahavir Kumar Singh @ Mahavir Singh,
Resident of Village – Karwandiya P.S. - Sasaram, District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme (I.C.D.S.), Bihar, Patna
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram
5. The District Programme Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram
6. The Block Development Officer, Sasaram, District – Rohtas
7. The Anganwari Sewika Selection Committee, Gram Panchayat Karwandiya, P.S. - Sasaram, District – Rohtas through its President cum – Ward Member of Ward No. 8.
8. The Female Supervisor, Child Development Scheme, Gram Panchayat Karwandiya, Block – Sasaram, District – Rohtas
9. Sita Devi, Wife of Parveen Kumar, Resident of Village – Karwandiya, P.S. - Sasaram, District - Rohtas

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Siddharth Harsh Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Prashant Pratap GP-2 Mr. Dev Kumar Pandey AC to GP-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 14-02-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner had applied for being appointed as Anganwari Sevika in Ward No. 8 and was adjudged the most suitable candidate in the meeting of the Aam Sabha. However,



in her place, private respondent no. 9 has been appointed as Anganwari Sevika on the plea that the petitioner did not hail from Ward No. 8 where the Anganwari Centre is situated.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that her husband is a permanent resident of Ward No. 8 and wrongly, the candidature of the petitioner has been by-passed and the private respondent no. 9 with lesser rank in the merit has been appointed on the post. He has further submitted that the aforesaid grievance has been raised before the District Programme Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram but till date no action has been taken on the aforesaid complaint.

Under the aforesaid circumstances, this Court directs the petitioner to make a fresh representation before the District Programme Officer, Sasaram, detailing her grievances within a period of four weeks from today. On receipt of such representation, the District Programme Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram after hearing the petitioner and private respondent no. 9 shall pass a reasoned order within a period of four weeks thereafter.



With the aforesaid observation / direction, this writ
petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	18.02.2019
Transmission Date	

