

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.142 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year- Thana- District- Patna

Tunu Singh son of Late Tarkeshwar Singh, Resident of Village-Khoraitha,
P.O. and P.S-Bikram,District.-Patna Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Reforms and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Secretariat- Patna
2. The Commissioner,Patna Division
3. The Collector, Patna, District-Patna
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Paliganj (Patna)
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Paliganj (Patna) Paliganj,
6. The Circle Officer, Bikram (Patna)
7. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar Secretariat-Patna
8. The Inspector General of Police, Central Zone, Patna- North of Patna Gandhi Maidan-1.
9. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Patna Zone, Patna- North of Patna Gandhi Maidan-Patna-1
10. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna
11. The Superintendent (Patna Town West) of Police, Patna
12. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, Paliganj (Patna), District-Patna
13. The S.H.O.-cum-Inspector-Bikram (Patna)
14. Pappu Singh son of Sudarshan Singh, Village- Akhtiyarpur, P.S-Bikram
15. Bhola Singh son of Lalan Sing, Village-Kharaitha,P.S-Bikram, Dist.-Patna.
16. Dashraj Singh son of Sudarshan singh Village- Taripar, P.S-Bikram, Dist.- Patna
17. Sadhu Singh son of Suresh Singh @ Thula singh, Village -Khoraitha,P.S-Bikram, Dist.-Patna
18. Sadhu Singh son of Late Upendra Singh, Village-Khoraitha, P.S-Bikram, Dist.-Patna Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Phulen Yadav, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Mukul Prasad, AC to GP-18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT



Date : 28-01-2019

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner for directing the official respondents not to allow respondent nos. 14 to 18 to realise rent from the tenants from the house constructed over Thana No. 59, Khata No. 124, 77 and 77, Plot Nos. 2107, 1847 and 1276/2205 situated at Mauza- Khoraittha, Block- Bikram.

2. Considering that the pleadings made in the writ petition are not only vague, but it is also difficult to comprehend the facts stated therein. Even otherwise, the kind of prayer made in the writ petition cannot be allowed as the question of right, title, possession and realisation of rent etc. cannot be decided in a summary proceeding in an extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

3. In that view of the matter, I am not inclined to entertain this application.

4. It is dismissed, accordingly.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Md. S/SKSuman.

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	02.02.2019
Transmission Date	02.02.2019

