

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79651 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2019 Thana- PARASI District- Jehanabad

Bablu Kumar, S/O- Suryadeo Choudhari, Resident of Village - Kamta Mathiya, P.S.- Parasi, District - Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murli Dhar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

2 04-12-2019 Instant petition under section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code has been moved for grant of anticipatory bail in F.I.R. No.60 of 2019, dated 21.10.2019, registered at Police Station-Parasi District- Arwal under Sections 30(a)/37(c) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State. I have also perused the relevant record of the case, necessary for adjudication of this petition.

Allegedly 70 litre of illicit country made liquor were recovered from the motorcycle. This is what is alleged by the prosecution.

On the other hand, learned counsel further submits that the contraband substance does not belong to the petitioner.

Keeping in view the provision of the special enactment



i.e. Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016, this Court is of the considered view that petitioner has made out a case for grant of pre-arrest bail. Possibility of false implication, as is so alleged by the petitioner, cannot be ruled out. Also, thus far save and except for naming the petitioner in the F.I.R., no evidence corroborative in nature stands recorded by the police. Also, none has come forward to highlight the possible involvement of the petitioner in the crime. On what basis the Investigating Officer could link the accused to the crime is also not emanating from the record.

Prima facie also, it appears that thus far no case against the petitioner is made out indicating his complicity in the alleged crime. Recovery is from an area accessible to general public. Planting of the substance has not been ruled out by the prosecution.

Prima facie, nothing is produced to highlight petitioner's direct involvement in the crime.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has roots in the society; is not likely to interfere in the investigation or influence any of the witnesses or destroy the evidence and no further recovery is to be made from the petitioner, nor any custodial interrogation is required.



It is settled law that the grant of bail is the discretion of the court, but the discretion must be exercised not in opposition to, but in accordance with the well established principles of law.

The law laid down in **Gudikanti Narasimhulu Versus public prosecutor, (1978) 1 SCC 240** by Justice Krishna Iyer explains the judicial discretion as- the unspoken but inescapable, silent command of our judicial system, and those who exercise it will remember that discretion when to a court of justice, means sound discretion guided by law. It must be governed by rule, not by humor, it must not be arbitrary, vague and fanciful, but legal and regular.

The similar observation was made by the Hon'ble Supreme court in another case of **Jai Prakash Singh vs State of Bihar and another**, reported in **2012 Cri. L.J. 2101**.

The Courts owe more than verbal respect to the principle that punishment begins after conviction and that every man is deemed to be innocent until duly tried and duly found guilty.

In the case of **Sidharam Saltingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharastra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court observed : "Personal liberty is very precious fundamental be curtailed only when it becomes imperative according to the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case". While relying



upon its decision rendered by its Constitution Bench in **Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia vs. State of Punjab, (1980) 2 SCC 565**, laid down the following parameters for grant of bail:-

"111. No inflexible guidelines or straitjacket formula can be provided for grant or refusal of anticipatory bail. We are clearly of the view that no attempt should be made to provide rigid and inflexible guidelines in this respect because all circumstances and situations of future cannot be clearly visualized for the grant or refusal of anticipatory bail. In consonance with the legislative intention the grant or refusal of anticipatory bail should necessarily depend on facts and circumstances of each case. As aptly observed in the Constitution Bench decision in **Sibbia's** case (supra) that the High Court or the Court of Sessions to exercise their jurisdiction under section 438 Cr.P.C. by a wise and careful use of their discretion which by their long training and experience they are ideally suited to do. In any event, this is the legislative mandate which we are bound to respect and honour."

Going through the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C.,



the four factors, which are relevant for considering the application for grant of anticipatory bail, are :

(i) the nature and gravity or seriousness of accusation as apprehended by the applicant;

(ii) the antecedents of the applicant including the fact as to whether he has, on conviction by a Court, previously undergone imprisonment for a term in respect of any cognizable offence;

(iii) the likely object of the accusation to humiliate or malign the reputation of the applicant by having him so arrested; and

(iv) the possibility of the appellant, if granted anticipatory bail, fleeing from justice.

In State of Maharashtra vs. Mohd. Sajid Hussain 2008

(1) SCC (CrL.) 176, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, while examining the principles governing grant of anticipatory bail, held that one of the four factors relevant for considering the application for grant of anticipatory bail is the possibility of the applicant, if granted anticipatory bail fleeing from justice.

The Apex Court in **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre** (supra) laid down certain factors and parameters to be considered while considering application for anticipatory bail :



"122. The following factors and parameters can be taken into consideration while dealing with the anticipatory bail:

i. The nature and gravity of the accusation and the exact role of the accused must be properly comprehended before arrest is made;

ii. The antecedents of the applicant including the fact as to whether the accused has previously undergone imprisonment on conviction by a Court in respect of any cognizable offence;

iii. The possibility of the applicant to flee from justice;

iv. The possibility of the accused's likelihood to repeat similar or the other offences.

v. Where the accusations have been made only with the object of injuring or humiliating the applicant by arresting him or her.

vi. Impact of grant of anticipatory bail particularly in cases of large magnitude affecting a very large number of people.

vii. The courts must evaluate the entire available material against the accused very carefully. The court must also



clearly comprehend the exact role of the accused in the case. The cases in which accused is implicated with the help of sections 34 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, the court should consider with even greater care and caution because over - implication in the cases is a matter of common knowledge and concern;

viii. While considering the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, a balance has to be struck between two factors namely, no prejudice should be caused to the free, fair and full investigation and there should be prevention of harassment, humiliation and unjustified detention of the accused;

ix. The court to consider reasonable apprehension of tampering of the witness or apprehension of threat to the complainant;

x. Frivolity in prosecution should always be considered and it is only the element of genuineness that shall have to be considered in the matter of grant of bail and in the event of there being some doubt as to the genuineness of the prosecution, in the normal course of events, the accused is entitled to an order of bail.

The court granting bail should exercise its discretion in a judicious manner and not as a matter of course. Though at the



stage of granting bail a detailed examination of evidence and elaborate documentation of the merit of the case need not be undertaken, there is a need to indicate in such orders reasons for prima facie concluding why bail was being granted particularly where the accused is charged of having committed a serious offence.

In **Vilas Pandurang Pawar Versus State of Maharastra, (2012) 8 SCC 795** also it was held that while considering application for bail, scope for appreciation of evidence and other material on record is limited. Court is not expected to indulge in critical analysis of evidence on record.

In the light of the above facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed.

The Petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest, be enlarged on bail subject to the following terms and conditions:

- (a) The petitioner shall furnish a bail bond of Rs. 25,000/-(Rupees Twenty five thousand) with one surety each of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the arresting authority.
- (b) The petitioner is directed to join the investigation of the case as and when called for by the Investigating Officer in accordance with law.
- (c) The petitioner shall not hamper the investigation



in any manner whatsoever or shall influence any of the witnesses.

(d) The petitioner shall facilitate in the early completion of the investigation and otherwise fulfill all other statutory terms and conditions, so prescribed, in accordance with law.

(e) The petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of trial Court.

(f) The petitioner may seek regular bail in accordance with law.

(g) Liberty reserved to the State to approach the Court seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail, if so required, subsequently.

Any observation made herein shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the matter.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

Sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

