

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81334 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-566 Year-2018 Thana- PURNEA SADAR District- Purnia

1. RAJEEV YADAV Son of Shatrughan Yadav Resident of Mahboob Khan Tola, near Kali Mandir, P.S. K.Hat, District - Purnea.
2. Bablu Soren Son of Bhelua Soren Resident of Village - Kaptanpara, P.S.- Sadar, District - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijendra Kumar Singh, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary,APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147,148,341,323,324,307 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act.

Petitioner-Rajeev Yadav and co-accused-Shyam Paswan allegedly fired, however, no injury was caused to anyone. Shyam Paswan has already been allowed anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in Cr.Misc.No.70516 of 2019.

Hence, let the petitioner No.1-Rajeev Yadav, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be



released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000 (Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Sadar P.S.Case No. 566 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and further the petitioner shall fully cooperate with the investigation of the case, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

Petitioner No.2-Bablu Soren allegedly caused injury with iron rod at the head of Arbind Sah. The Doctor has found simple injury.

Since petitioner No.2-Bablu Soren had knowledge that his act might cause death, I am not inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail. Hence, prayer is refused. However, prayer for regular bail shall be considered without being prejudiced by this order and considering the fact that there is no allegation of repetition of blow.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

