

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73401 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-352 Year-2017 Thana- EAST CHAMPARAN
COMPLAINT District- East Champaran

=====

Sakil Ahmad @ Sakil Mian S/o Mozahiruddin Khan @ Muzahiruddin
Ahmad @ Mozamil Mian, Resident of Village- Inarwa, P.S.- Ramgarhwa,
Dist- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Bihar
2. Ramangya Yadav, S/o Kari Yadav, R/o Village- Uchchi Matiya, P.S.-
Ramgarhwa, Dist- East Champaran.

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Pramkod Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For the State : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 11-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 420, 406 of the Indian Penal Code and 138
of the N.I. Act registered in connection with Complaint Case No.
352 of 2017.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated and in any event he expresses his readiness to make
payment of the amount of the bounced cheque of Rs. 40,000/- to
the complainant in instalments.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant opposes the



anticipatory bail petition submitting that apart from the amount of Rs. 40,000/-, the petitioner had assured to make payment of Rs. 64,000/- in cash by way of part sale consideration of 65 quintals of wheat for the total value of Rs. 1,04,000/-.

5. Having regard to the nature of accusations, gravity of the offence alleged and the criminal antecedents of the petitioner which disclose that the petitioner has been made accused in three prior cases of similar nature, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The anticipatory bail petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

