

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.68882 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2018 Thana- ANGARH District- Purnia

Ranjit Kumar Yadav @ Ranjit Yadav Son of Sahtam Roy @ Sahtam Yadav,
R/o Angarh Hat, Kanjhiya, P.S.- Angarh, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Dr. Bidhu Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 18-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 354A, 363, 366A, 511, 341, 323, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Angarh P.S. Case No. 02 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and he is not named in the first information report which is against co-accused Madhav Kumar Das and three unknown persons. In the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, she has named three persons and one unknown as having committed the alleged offence. She has further named the petitioner who is said to have come to her house a few days later and given threatening but however no case has been instituted in that regard. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP assisted by learned counsel for the informant opposes the anticipatory bail, submitting that the motor cycle of which co-accused Madhav Kumar Das and others had come, belonged to the petitioner and call details of the petitioner's mobile



showed that he was present in the vicinity of the place of occurrence.

5. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Purnea in connection with Angarh P.S. Case No. 02 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and with further conditions--

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

BT/Chandran

U		T	
---	--	---	--

