

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77296 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2 Year-2019 Thana- MALAYPUR District- Jamui

SAKINDER MANJHI @ SAKINDRA MANJHI Son of Shivcharan Manjhi
Resident of Village - Gadwah Katauna, P.S.- Malaypur, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dipak Kumar,Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL ORDER

2 27-11-2019 Instant petition under Sections 439 and 440 of Criminal Procedure Code has been moved for grant of bail in F.I.R. No. 2 of 2019, dated 3.1.2019, registered at Police Station Malaypur under Sections 323/354(B)/376/504/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State. I have also perused the relevant record of the case, necessary for adjudication of this petition.

It has come on record that there is prior animosity inter se the parties and that prior in point of time, the accused herein, who is a married man, had initiated proceedings against the family members of the complainant. Prima facie, the complaint was registered on 3rd of January, 2019. In the



interregnum, the accused, as the Court is informed, has fully cooperated and joined investigation.

No doubt, the allegations are serious in nature, but prima facie the allegations appear to be counter blast and, as such, to the mind of this Court, the accused has made out a case for grant of bail.

Also thus far no case against the petitioner is made out indicating his complicity in the alleged crime.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has roots in the society; is not likely to interfere in the investigation or influence any of the witnesses or destroy the evidence; is behind bars since 27.05.2019; no further custodial interrogation is required and he has fully cooperated in the investigation.

Prima facie, nothing is produced to highlight petitioner's direct involvement in the crime.

Thus, this Court is of the considered view that petitioner has made out a case for grant of bail. Possibility of false implication, as is so alleged by the petitioner, cannot be ruled out. Also, thus far save and except for naming the petitioner in the F.I.R., no evidence corroborative in nature stands recorded by the police. Also, none has come forward to



highlight the possible involvement of the petitioner in the crime. On what basis the Investigating Officer could link the accused to the crime is also not emanating from the record.

Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/-(Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamui in connection with Malaypur P.S. Case No. 2 of 2019, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the



State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

Any observation made herein shall not be construed to
be an expression on the merits of the matter.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

K.C.Jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

