

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17100 of 2018

=====

Suhila Devi @ Susheela Devi Wife of Rakesh Kant Upadhayay Resident of
Village- Chanda Sultanpur, P.S. Chanda, District- Sultanpur U.P..

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Principle Secretary, Registration, Excise and Prohibition
Department, Government of Bihar, Patn
3. The Collector-Cum-District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari.
4. The Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari.
5. The Superintendent of Excise, East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Chandra Verma
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar- Sc11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 25-02-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

This application has been filed seeking provisional
release of the Indigo Car bearing Registration No. UP-44Q-3500,
Engine No. 4751DT14KQZPA8227and Chasis No.
MAT6071469WK41373 which has been seized in connection with
Mehsi P.S. Case No. 230 of 2018 for the offence punishable under
Sections 30, and 38 of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per the
seizure list 750 M.L. of IMFL have been recovered from the vehicle
in question. It is submitted that there is no information about
confiscation proceeding.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the vehicle in question is lying under open sky in the Police Station and if release is not allowed the vehicle is likely to become a junk and in such circumstance, the State is not going to gain anyway in confiscation proceeding. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is willing to provide such surety and undertakings which may be required to protect the interest of the State during the pendency of the confiscation.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the given facts and circumstances where no confiscation proceeding is presently pending, let the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on the petitioner's producing the document of ownership and registration in his name before designated Court below with two sureties (one local) to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future:

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.



(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama would be got prepared by the designated Court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties along with the undertakings as stated above. This release, however, would be subject to the final order passed in the confiscation proceeding, if any.

The writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

