

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3208 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1597 of 2015

-
-
1. Sanju Bharti D/o Dukh Haran Paswan resident of village Harla, P.S. Laxmipur, Dist. Jamui.
 2. Suresh Kumar son of late Uday Ram resident of village Sono Near Primary Health Centre Sono, P.S. Sono, Dist. Jamui.
 3. Rajesh Paswan son of Arjun Paswan resident of village - Mahadeo Simaria, P.S. Sikandra, Dist. Jamui.
 4. Hari Kishore Rawat son of Nageshwar Rawat resident of village Ujhandi, Ward No. 2, P.S. and Dist. Jamui.
 5. Dharmendra Kumar son of Makeshwar Paswan resident of village Mahota, P.S. Amarpur, Dist. Banka.
 6. Gautam Kumar S/o Devendra Singh resident of village Singarpur, P.S. Khaira, Dist. Jamui.
 7. Raj Kumar Paswan son of Bhola Paswan resident of village Pathakchak, P.S. Sikandra, Dist. Jamui.
 8. Ranjan Paswan son of Arjun Paswan resident of village - Mahadeo Simaria, P.S. Sikandra, Dist. Jamui.
 9. Ashok Kumar Arya son of Munsu Das resident of village Khutkat, P.S. Sikandra, Dist. Jamui.
 10. Rambilas Das son of Munsu Das resident of village Khutkat, P.S. Sikandra, Dist. Jamui.
 11. Ram Pravesh Ram son of Babu Lal Rabidas resident of village Haidra, P.S. Chandradeep, Dist. Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. Brijesh Mahrotra, Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Amir Subhani, Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Pankaj Paul, Divisional Commissioner, Munger.
5. Dharmendra Kumar, District Magistrate, Jamui.
6. Kiran Kumari District Program Officer Establishment, Jamui.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhat Ranjan Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Prabhat Kumar Verma - Aag 3



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

5 16-09-2019 Counsel for the opposite parties has filed show cause placing on record proceedings of the District Selection Committee dated 29.05.2018. Petitioners' claim for regularization has been rejected.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that reasons assigned for rejecting petitioners' claim are factually incorrect and unsustainable.

In view of show cause placing on record consideration of the petitioners' claim this court would record substantial compliance of writ court's order.

Petitioners would be at liberty to assail the order in an appropriate proceeding.

Contempt application stands disposed of.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

s.hassan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

