

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76660 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1929 Year-2017 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-  
Gaya

=====

SUJEET KUMAR S/o Late Shankar Prasad R/o village- Ghoraghat, P.S.-  
Dobhi, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Priti Kumari W/o Sujeet Kumar, D/o Girdhari Prasad Resident at Gewal  
Bigha Near Munni Masjit, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Singh  
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Indu Kumari Srivastava

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      27-11-2019                      Petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in  
  
connection with Gaya Complaint Case No. 1929 of 2017 in  
  
which cognizance has been taken under Section 498A of the  
  
Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Petitioner happens to be husband of the complainant  
opposite party No.2 and there is allegation of demand of Rs.5  
lac as dowry and for that she was assaulted and her signature  
was taken on blank paper.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is  
that whole allegation is false and concocted and he is still ready  
to keep her with dignity and care. On the other hand, learned  
counsel for opposite party No.2 has submitted that she is also  
ready to reside with the petitioner.



Heard learned APP also.

In view of above facts and circumstances, let petitioner surrender in the court below on 15.1.2020, on that day opposite party No.2 shall also remain present in the court and on appearance of both the parties and on filing an affidavit by the petitioner that he is ready to keep her with dignity and care and will take her from the court itself, petitioner shall be released on provisional bail for a period of six months by the court below itself and during that period both the parties have to appear in the court on fourth week of every month, so that the court may watch their conduct and once the court is satisfied with their conduct, specially the conduct of the petitioner, bail bonds of the petitioner shall be confirmed, otherwise the court below is free to pass any other order(s) as it may deem fit and proper, including cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner.

With the above observation, this application is disposed of.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

spal/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

