

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2149 of 2018

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.482 of 2015

-
-
1. Dr. Kundan Prasad son of Late Rameshwar Prasad resident of Barh, P.S. - Barh, District - Patna.
 2. Raj Kumar son of Late Kedar Nath Pandit resident of at Nilami Gali, Salempur, Ward No. 12, P.S. - Barh, District - Patna.
 3. Pradip Kumar Gupta son of Ramdas Sao resident of At - Punchmahalla, P.O. - Jehanabad, P.S.- Jehanabad, District - Jehanabad.
 4. Dr. Anuj Kumar son of Late Arjun Singh resident of Barh, P.S. - Barh, District - Patna.
 5. Onkar Nath son of Late Bisheshwar Singh resident of Barh, P.S. - Barh, District - Patna.
 6. Sanjay Kumar Singh son of Late Kameshwar Prasad Singh resident of Village - Naragda, P.O. - Balua, P.S. Saraiyan Krishnagarh, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Of Bihar, New Secre
2. The Director, Higher Education, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna namely Mr. Sushil Ku
3. The Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, through its Registrar, namely Mr. Birendra Kumar.
4. The Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, namely Dr. Kumar Ahsan.
5. The Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, namely Mr. Ram Naresh Sharma.
6. The Principal, A.N. Singh College, Barh, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Opposite Party/s :

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 19-12-2019 Present petition has been preferred for initiating



contempt proceedings against the opposite parties concerned for their intentional wilful and deliberate action in flouting/disobeying/defying the order dated 01.08.2017, passed in L.P.A. No. 482 of 2015 (The State of Bihar & Anr. Vs. Umesh Prasad & Ors.) and analogous cases, passed by a Division Bench of this Court.

Learned counsel for the State states that the direction contained in the impugned order stands complied with.

Perhaps, it is for such reason, none has appeared for the petitioners to press the present petition.

The petition is accordingly dismissed in default.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

