

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80595 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2019 Thana- BISHUNPUR District- Darbhanga

1. AMIT SAHNI @ AMIT KUMAR SAHANI Son of Hira Sahni @ Hira Sahani Resident of Village - Phulwariya, P.S.- Bishanpur, Distt.- Darbhanga.
2. Sanjiv @ Pappu @ Sanjiv Sahni @ Sanjeev Kumar Sahani Son of Hira Sahni @ Hira Sahani Resident of Village - Phulwariya, P.S.- Bishanpur, Distt.- Darbhanga.
3. Raja Sahni @ Raja Kumar Sahani Son of Shobhit Sahni @ Shobhit Sahani Resident of Village - Phulwariya, P.S.- Bishanpur, Distt.- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manish Kumar No 13, Advocate

Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 12-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Bishanpur P.S. Case No. 36 of 2019 corresponding to C.R.I. No. 676 of 2019 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 307, 341, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of learned A.C.J.M. - VII, Darbhanga.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State, finding that there are specific allegation of assault against these petitioners and



those are corroborated from the injury report available on the record, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is refused.

In case, the petitioners surrender and pray for regular bail in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, their prayer for regular bail shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by the order of this court.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted before this court that the three co-accused have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by learned coordinate Bench of this court. This court has given complete consideration to the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and has found that the co-accused have been granted benefit of anticipatory bail perhaps in a different fact situation where the injury reports were not corroborating the allegations made against them whereas the injury reports of the victim of these petitioners are corroborating the version of the informant, moreover there



are criminal antecedents which facts were also not brought to the notice of the learned coordinate Bench.

This court, therefore, does not find any reason to grant anticipatory bail to these petitioners in the present fact situation.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

