

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12167 of 2018

=====

Kaushar Parveen @ Kaushar Parveen W/o Md. Gulzar R/o Village and Post-
Katra Block- Katra, District- Muzaffarpur was working as Talimi Markaj
Siksha Swamsevi in Primary School, Katr Urdu Katra District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Education Department, Bihar Patna.
3. The Director, Public Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector, Muzaffarpur.
5. The District Education Officer Literacy Muzaffarpur.
6. The District Program Officer, Secondary Education and Literacy,
Muzaffarpur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Katra Muzaffarpur.
8. The Headmaster cum Member Secetary, Selection/Steering Committee,
Primary School Katra Urdu, Katra

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar Manoj
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

4 23-08-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Petitioner is aggrieved by the order contained in
Annexure-P1 whereby service of the petitioner was dispensed
with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that before
taking decision, the respondents have considered report of the
enquiry committee. The enquiry committee enquired into the



matter and submitted report indicating the fault in the selection of the petitioner that necessary seven days time was not provided in inviting the application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this court to Annexure-2 at page 19, it appears that District Programme Officer(Literacy), Muzaffarpur has issued guideline for selection of Talim Markaz. For constitution of selection committee and inviting application 1.12.2014 was fixed and the last dated for submission of application was 4.12.2014 which was strictly followed by the selection unit notwithstanding adhering to the guideline issued by the District Programme Officer (Literacy), Muzaffarpur, the petitioner was dismissed on the ground that seven days time was not followed in the matter of inviting the application and as such they have found the appointment of the petitioner illegal and condemned the petitioner on that basis.

Considering the guideline issued by the District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur the Court is of the view that the selection of the petitioner cannot be faulted on the ground that seven days time was not followed in the process of inviting application. The decision to dismiss the petitioner on the ground of aforesaid infirmity cannot sustain as it is contrary to



the Annexure-P1.

In view of the above, the order contained in Annexure
-P1 is quashed. The writ petition is allowed accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

