

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83902 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-129 Year-2019 Thana- BAJPATTI District- Sitamarhi

SUSHIL BHANDARI Son of Late Raj Kumar Bhandari Resident of Village-
Piparahi, P.S.-Bajpatti, District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Virendra Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Veerendra Narayan, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

2 18-12-2019 Instant petition under Sections 439 and 440 of Criminal Procedure Code has been moved for grant of bail in Bajpatti P.S. Case No. 129 of 2019, dated 2.5.2019, registered under Sections 399, 402 of the Indian Penal Code and 25(1-b)a/26/35 of the Arms Act.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State. I have also perused the relevant record of the case, necessary for adjudication of this petition.

It is on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused that the accused bail applicant was arrested on 25.6.2019.

According to the prosecution, the accused committed dacoity in a jewellery shop.



The investigation thus far has not revealed anything linking the accused to the crime for nothing stands recovered, or at this stage is sought to be recovered from the accused.

Prima facie also it appears that thus far no case against the petitioner is made out indicating his complicity in the alleged crime.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has roots in the society; is not likely to interfere in the investigation or influence any of the witnesses or destroy the evidence; is behind bars since 25.6.2019; no further custodial interrogation is required and he has fully cooperated in the investigation.

Prima facie, nothing is produced to highlight petitioner's direct involvement in the crime.

Thus, this Court is of the considered view that petitioner has made out a case for grant of bail. Possibility of false implication, as is so alleged by the petitioner, cannot be ruled out. Also, thus far save and except for naming the petitioner in the F.I.R., no evidence corroborative in nature stands recorded by the police. Also, none has come forward to highlight the possible involvement of the petitioner in the crime. On what basis the Investigating Officer could link the accused



to the crime is also not emanating from the record.

Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, named above, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/-(Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Pupri, Sitamarhi in connection with Bajpatti P.S. Case No. 129 of 2019, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

Any observation made herein shall not be construed to



be an expression on the merits of the matter.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

K.C.Jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

