

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23659 of 2019

=====

Raushani Kumari S/o Ram Pravesh Singh resident of Village- Chandrashekhar Nagar, East Gola Road, Danapur Patna- 801503 permanent address village- Sikandarpur, P.O.- Katesar, P.S.- Bihta, Dist- Patna- 801103.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Inspector General of Police, Head Quarter, Bihar.
5. The Bihar Police Sub ordinate Service Commission, Santosh Manson, B-Block Raghunath Patha, Danapur, Patna.
6. The Secretary, Bihar Police Sub- ordinate Service Commission, Santosh Manson, B- Block Raghunath Path, Danapur, Patna.
7. The Officer on Special Duty, Bihar Police Sub- ordinate Service Commission, Santosh Manson, B- Block Raghunath Path, Danapur, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rajesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.P. K. Verma (AAG3)
For BPSSC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey,

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 29-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner was applicant for her selection to the post of Sub-Inspector of Police against Advertisement No. 01 of 2017. According to her, she cleared Preliminary Test, Written Examination (Main) as well as Physical Efficiency Test but she has not been finally selected.

3. This writ application has been filed in that background, seeking direction to the Bihar Police Subordinate



Service Commission to recommend her name for her appointment against a post of Sub-Inspector of Police. It has been asserted that 52 vacancies, which were advertised, have yet not been filled up.

4. There is no averment, however, in the writ application that any candidate lower in merit has been selected, ignoring the petitioner's claim. It is her case, on the other hand, that though she has been seeking information under the Right to Information Act from the respondents, in respect of the said process of selection, no information is being supplied.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Commission, on the other hand, has submitted that fresh advertisement has been issued and the vacancies have been advertised. Any panel prepared on the basis of Advertisement No. 01 of 2017 in question, has, thus, completely lost its significance. He has further submitted that the vacancies, which remained unfilled because of non-availability of the desired number of scheduled caste candidates have been taken into account in the subsequent advertisement for the post of Sub Inspector of Police.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner has not been able to make out any case of violation of his fundamental



or legal right to be selected, I do not find it to be a fit case for interference.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

arun/-

U			
---	--	--	--

