

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83942 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2019 Thana- KATEYA District- Gopalganj

1. DHARMENDRA GUPTA Son of Harihar Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
2. Vijay Kumar Gupta Son of Nathuni Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
3. Govind Sah Son of Harihar Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
4. Arjun Gupta Son of Ravindra Gupta Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
5. Manikchand Gupta Son of Jayshree Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
6. Rajesh Sah Son of Harihar Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
7. Moti Sah Son of Surjan Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
8. Vashith Sah @ Bashisht Sah Son of Moti Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.
9. Madan Sah Son of Late Yamuna Sah Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar
2. Vimla Devi W/o Suvash Thakur Resident of Village - and P.O.- Panchdeori, P.S.- Kateya, Distt.- Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey No.5
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioners seek quashing of the order dated
21.06.2019 passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial



Magistrate – XV, Gopalganj passed in Kateya @ Kattiya P.S.
Case No. 99 of 2019 corresponding to G.R. No. 798 of 2019
whereby and whereunder the learned court below has taken the
cognizance of the offences against the petitioners under Sections
320, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

This Court is not inclined to interfere with the order of
cognizance. The prayer for quashing the same is, accordingly,
rejected.

However, the petitioners will be at liberty to move at
an appropriate stage, if so advised.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

