

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72843 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2019 Thana- SIKANDRA District- Jamui

SHAMBHU PRASAD SINGH @ SHAMBHU SINGH Son of Satya Narayan
Singh Resident of Village - Karma, Police Station - Sikandra, District - Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-11-2019 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
the learned A.P.P. for the State.

This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail
in connection with Sikandra PS case no. 109 of 2019 registered
for the offences punishable under Section 354-B and other
sections of IPC.

The allegation as per the *fardbeyan* of the
informant is that on 29.04.2019 at about 6.30 pm in the evening,
when he was along with his aunt, his co-villager namely
Shambhu Singh i.e. the petitioner herein, came near his aunt and
with ill motive, started pulling her saree, whereupon the
informant is said have raised alarm and then the son of the
petitioner had arrived there, armed with *lathi* and rod and then
the accused persons had assaulted the informant and his family



members resulting in infliction of various types of injuries upon the members of the prosecution party. It is the further allegation of the informant that the petitioner had hit the informant on his head by means of rod resulting in severe injury on his head and blood started oozing out.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case as also, he is having a clean antecedent. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got no complicity in the alleged crime.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the materials on record as also perused the FIR in question, from which it is apparent that there is specific allegation as against the petitioner of assaulting the informant on his head, hence this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is not liable to be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail in view of the serious nature of the crime alleged to have been committed by him, thus the present petition stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

