

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24818 of 2019

Sanjay Kumar Rai @ Sanjay Kumar Ray, son of Muni Ray, resident of Mohalla- Gaurakshani, Sasaram, Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate-Cum-Collector, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
3. The Circle Officer, Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. Sanjay Sharma, son of Late Gopal Sharma, resident of Mohalla- Sarai Dhela, P.O. + Police Station- Dhanbad, District- Dhanbad at present resident of Fazalganj Sasaram, P.O. + Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
5. Smt. Manju Sharma, W/o Anand Sharma, D/o late Gopal Sharma @ Laddu Maharaj, resident of Mohalla Fazalganj Sasaram, P.O. + Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
6. Om Prakash Pandey, aged about 39 years, son of Late Baijnath Pandey, resident of Mohalla- Kotha Toli, Sasaram, Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas.
7. Jakir Hussain, aged about 45 years, son of Samasuddin Gaddi, resident of Mohalla- Alamganj, Sasaram, Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.
8. Jeetender Kumar Tiwari, aged about 37 years, son of Jokhan Tiwari, resident of village- Khairi, Babhangawan, Police Station- Sasaram, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondents IIIrd Set

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Pankaj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Shrivastava, AC to GP 15

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH**

ORAL ORDER

2 12-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned AC to GP 15 for the State.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the
following reliefs:

*“That this application is being filed on
behalf of the petitioner abovenamed for*



issuance of appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondent Circle Officer to ensure the implementation of judgment and decree passed by the learned Sub-Judge-IV in T. S. No.695 of 2016 and accordingly insert the name of the petitioner in register-2 and further for issuance of any other writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions for which petitioner shall be found entitled under the facts and circumstances as stated hereinbelow.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the application with liberty to first get the judgment and decree dated 16.11.2018/ 08.02.2019 implemented and thereafter to move the authorities with regard to mutation of the land in question.

4. In view thereof, as prayed for by learned counsel for the petitioner, the application stands disposed off as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Vikash/Ranjit

U			
---	--	--	--

