

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69622 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-202 Year-2019 Thana- ITARHI District- Buxar

=====

RITESH YADAV Son of Anand Bihari Yadav Resident of Village - Itarahi Ara
Mashine ke Piche, P.S.- Itarahi, Distt - Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nawal Kishore Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 11-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 07.08.2019 in connection with Itarahi P.S.Case No. 202 of 2019 for the alleged offences under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with looting of Rs. 1,82,000/- kept under the mattress in the cabin of the truck. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has surfaced on the confessional statement of other co-accused. According to the order of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, only an amount of Rs. 2000/- has been recovered from the house of the petitioner. Neither the money nor the petitioner has been put on TIP for the purposes of identification. Similarly situated co-accused have been granted bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 67485 of 2019 and Cr. Misc. No. 66495 of 2019 respectively. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, let the petitioner above named be



released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-VI, Buxar in connection with Itarahi P.S.Case No. 202 of 2019, on the following conditions--

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

