

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69107 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-441 Year-2019 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- East Champaran

=====

SURENDRA YADAV @ SURENDRA RAY Son of Ramswarup Rai Resident
of Village- Nunimal, P.S.- Rajepur, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Chandra Verma
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

2 27-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Excise Case No. 441 of 2019 registered under Sections 30(a) of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of learned 9th Additional Sessions Judge – cum – Special Judge, Excise, Motihari, East Champaran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case, specific recovery is not mentioned in the official complaint, petitioner has no concern with the alleged illicit liquor.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



Considering the facts and circumstances of the case wherein it is found that the illicit liquor has been recovered from the house of the petitioner, this court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is refused.

In case, petitioner surrenders and prays for regular bail in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, his prayer for regular bail shall be considered on it's own merit without being prejudiced by the order of this court.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

