

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76966 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-56 Year-2018 Thana- ARER District- Madhubani

Nagendra Yadav son of Late Radha Yadav @ Late Raghu Yadav, Resident of
Village- Danga (West Tole), P.S.- Arer, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Subhash Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Gagan deo Yadav
For the State	:	Mr.Sri Zainul Abedin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 07-03-2019 Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to
make necessary correction in the prayer portion.

Permission is allowed. Learned counsel for the
petitioner will make necessary correction during course of day.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, informant
and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Arer P.S. Case No.
56/2018, instituted for offences under Section(s) 341, 323, 324,
307, 354, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged in the written report that on the date of
occurrence informant and his relatives had gone to participate in
the mundan ceremony of his Bhanja where this petitioner along
with other accused persons arrived with different weapons and
assaulted the brother of informant. The informant went to save



his brother then accused persons assaulted him with Farsa causing injury on his head and thigh. Allegation against this petitioner is that he assaulted the brother of informant with Farsa on his hand. The brother of informant wanted to save himself then petitioner gave second *Farsa* blow on his head causing serious injury.

Case diary has been received, wherein injury report of injured is available, which shows that brother of informant has sustained two injuries as mentioned in the written report. Injuries are found to be grievous in nature.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties. Counter case has been filed by one another accused vide Arer P.S. Case No. 70/2018. None has sustained injury in that case.

Considering the nature of injury caused by the petitioner and the fact that petitioner has given repeated blow to the brother of informant, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

rakhi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

