

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.75674 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2018 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Vaishali

Rishi Ranjan Son of Late Rajesh Kumar, Resident of Village- Fakuli, P.S.-
Kudhani, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Vikram

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sri Panchanand Pandit

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

3 15-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Petitioner who is languishing in judicial custody since
23.08.2018 seeks bail in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case
No. 75 of 2018 for offences punishable under Sections 302 of
Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The prosecution case as lodged by the father of the
deceased is that his son was an Assistant Teacher and while on
duty some miscreants fired on his son and killed him.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the
petitioner that he is innocent, bears no criminal history, not
named in the F.I.R. and it is only on the basis of suspicion
that he has been made accused. He submits that there has
been no T.I. Parade was the incident occurred in the school



office where other teachers were also present although the accused had hidden their face. He further submits that one of the co-accused has already been granted the privilege of bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 74298 of 2018 dated 14.12.2018.

However, learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail stating therein that the petitioner and the co-accused had love relation which was objected by the husband (deceased) Radhesh Ranjan and the petitioner in his confessional statement, which was recorded on 22.08.2018 by the police, has confessed his complicity of hiring of shooter which is evident from para-81 of the case diary.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and materials on record, I am not inclined to enlarged the petitioner on bail.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner is, accordingly, rejected.

However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--



