

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66635 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2017 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Madhepura

Raj Kumar Yadav, Son of Badry Yadav, Resident of Village-Tarabe P.S.-
Gamgaria, District-Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sir Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 02-07-2019 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Mahila (Madhepura) P.S. Case No. 86 of 2017, disclosing offences under Sections 498A, 341, 324, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Case is under Section 498-A of the IPC with an allegation of demand of Rs.50,000/- and a cow and for that, assaulted the informant and ousted her from the house along with her children.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the whole allegation is false and concocted and he is still ready to keep her with full dignity and care.

On the other hand, the opposite party no.2 has appeared and submitted that she is also ready to reside with the petitioner, if she is allowed to live with full dignity and care.

In such view of the matter, let the petitioner, above



named, surrender before the learned court below on 10.07.2019 and on that day the opposite party no.2 shall also remain present in the Court, and further on filing of an affidavit by the petitioner that he is ready to keep her with full dignity and care and to take her from the Court itself, then he will be released on provisional bail for a period of six months. During that period, the learned court below shall watch the conduct of both the parties and further directs that both the parties shall appear in the second week of each month before the learned court below. Once the court below is satisfied with the conduct of both the parties, and their marital relationship, especially the conduct of the petitioner, the court below either confirm the bail bonds of the petitioner or pass any other orders, which may deem fit and proper, including cancelling the bail bonds of the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, this application is allowed.

Sunil Shukla/-

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

